
Subject: Re: majority voting

Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Thu, 12 Feb 2009 19:58:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith wrote:

>
> So the bottom line is that '++f[inds] ' and 'f=histogram(inds)' for
> integer 'inds' are essentially identical in results and performance.
> For floating point data, you can simply pre-scale to integer (which is
> what HIST_ND actually does). Obviously, you don't get reverse
> indices, but otherwise you could quite literally rewrite HIST_ND
> without once using HISTOGRAM! Even if it's not any faster, the ++
> syntax may be clearer for certain problems.
>
> Good find.
>
> JD

And in case any other person a bit more slow on the intake
(like me) is wondering what the heck ++ and -- do in IDL,
they can be found in the documentation under "Mathematical Operators"
(searching for "++" did not return anything useful for me).

Ciao,
Paolo
