
Subject: Re: idl speed question

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Sat, 14 Mar 2009 22:28:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

oxfordenergyservices@googlemail.com wrote:

> I have the following strange result

>

> a=double(1.0)

> for i=0,10000 do begin

> for j=0,10000 do begin

> a=a+1.0

> endfor

> endfor

>

> takes 13 seconds whereas

>

> a=double(1.0)

> b=double(1.0)

> c=double(1.0)

> d=double(1.0)

> e=double(1.0)

> for i=0,10000 do begin

> for j=0,10000 do begin

> a=a+1.0

> b=b+1.0

> c=c+1.0

> d=d+1.0

> e=e+1.0

> endfor

> endfor

>

> takes 60 seconds? I thought the overhead with IDL was in the loops

> rather than the computing?

The real killer for speed is number of statements (each one has to be interpreted). Loops are bad only because they could execute a statement a possibly large number of times. So in your example, the first case has $10001 * 10001$ statements while the second has $5 * 10001 * 10001$ statements. So if the statements are doing the same amount of work, one would expect the second to take about 5 times more time.

The conclusion: try to do more work per statement.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Associate Research Scientist

Tech-X Corporation
