## Subject: Re: indexing

Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Thu, 02 Apr 2009 19:20:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Apr 2, 12:49 pm, "Dick Jackson" <d...@d-jackson.com> wrote:
> Hi all,
>
  Good one, Chris. How about this for getting the subset:
>
> PRO IndexXYPairsOverZ
>
  a = Transpose(IndGen(10,10,10), [2,1,0]); Array where, e.g. a[3,0,4]=304
>
> xy = [[3,4],[2,1],[3,7]]
> z0 = 1
> z1 = 3
> dims=Size(a,/Dim)
> a = Reform(a, dims[0]*dims[1], dims[2], /Overwrite); Reshape a temporarily
> xyIndices = xy[0,*]+xy[1,*]*dims[0]
> subset = a[xyIndices, z0:z1]
> a = Reform(a, dims, /Overwrite)
                                              ; Restore a's shape
> Help, subset
> Print, subset
>
> END
> Printed result:
                         = Array[3, 3]
 SUBSET
                 INT
     341
            211
                   371
>
     342
            212
                   372
>
     343
            213
                   373
> Note that the Reforms will take effectively no time at all, and you only
  make one list of indices.
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> Cheers,
> -Dick
>
> Dick Jackson Software Consulting
                                             http://www.d-jackson.com
  Victoria, BC, Canada
                               +1-250-220-6117
                                                    d...@d-jackson.com
>
>
  "Jeremy Bailin" <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
```

```
news:a50cae0f-63e5-47b7-a44e-b5363114855a@r37g2000yqn.google groups.com...
> On Apr 2, 1:34 am, Chris <beaum...@ifa.hawaii.edu> wrote:
>> I would have done something like this, accomplishing the summation in
>> two steps
>> x = [3, 2, 3]
>> y = [4, 1, 7]
>> sum = total(array[*,*,1:3], 3); summed along z direction
>> answer = total(sum[x,y]); summed over x,y pairs
>
>> chris
>
> Yeah, that definitely works nicely for total (I like the fact that it
> doesn't need any internal index vectors, and that the intermediate
> stage is a constant size independent of npair and nz), which is what
> I'm doing today. But it wouldn't work for the more generic case where
> I want to do something else to those values - for example, I've needed
> to do the equivalent with median before, and that won't work as a 2-
> step process.
>
> -Jeremy.
```

Ah, now this is exactly the sort of thing I was looking for! Excellent job, Dick! As with any elegant solution, now that I look at it, I'm kicking myself for not figuring it out earlier... but it's a nice trick to add to the IDL Way Arsenal. :-)=

-Jeremy.