

---

Subject: FOR loops and efficiency

Posted by [Rachel](#) on Thu, 21 May 2009 16:04:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It was impressed upon me sometime or another that the use of FOR loops in IDL applications tends to be an inefficient solution for doing many tasks, yet sometimes I have difficulty finding a reasonable alternative to the FOR loop. I was wondering if anyone could give me advice on the following example code.

I am trying to make a function that takes arrays of parameters and then generates a mathematical model. In the following example I use gaussian curves, but generally I would want to expand an implementation to other mathematical functions (gaussians are just easy for this example).

So basically I can accomplish what I want to do using something like the following:

```
x = findgen(2000)*0.1 + 900.0
y = fltarr(2000)+1.0
```

```
lam0 = findgen(10)*50.0 + 900.0
depth = findgen(10)/10.0
width = findgen(10)
```

```
for i = 0,n_elements(lam0)-1 do y = y *(1.0 - depth[i]*exp(-(x-width[i])^2/2.0/width[i]))
```

I was thinking about how one might accomplish the same things without a for loop and I came up with the following... problem being that for large arrays of lam0 this is actually more inefficient (I'm assuming because of the use of extraordinarily large arrays)

```
n = n_elements(x)
nlines = n_elements(lam0)
y = product(1.0 - rebin(transpose(depth),n,nlines)*exp(-(rebin(x,n,nlines)-rebin(transpose(lam0),n,nlines))^2/2.0/rebin(transpose(width),n,nlines)),2)
```

any advise?

Thanks!  
Josh

---