
Subject: Re: yet another idl memory question
Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Wed, 10 Jun 2009 22:01:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> David Fanning writes:

>

>> If you like, you can think of a pointer as a type of

>> IDL variable that doesn't currently work with UNDEFINE. :-)

>

> UNDEFINE has now been updated to recursively search

> pointers, objects, and structure variables for other

> variables it can destroy and devour before it gets

> around to undefining the variable in question.

>

> Even Paolo should be satisfied now. :-)

>

> <http://www.dfanning.com/programs/undefine.pro>

Cool! Way better then the kitchen sink to get rid of these
old smelly variables... :)

Ciao,

Paolo

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

>

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")