Subject: Re: too many elements Posted by Chris[6] on Fri, 12 Jun 2009 09:13:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On Jun 11, 12:47 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote: > Hu writes: >> the problem is: I want to deal with a time series images, each image is >> 2300\*1500 pixels, there are 300 images in total. so I have to define a >> array 2300\*1500\*300 to store all pixels. there is no problems till >> now, the problem is: each pixel at each time point have its own >> ancillary data, these ancillary information are essential for process >> the pixel values through time and space axises. > >> and, for my limited knowledge about IDL, I have to define another four >> array to store these ancillary data. the error appears when I define >> an extra array to store the values after all processing steps.... >> you could image my embarrassing situation. >> all i want to know is whether there is an way to store these >> information without any memory allocation, does Pointer help? > OK, no, there is no way to store the data without "memory allocation". And, no, a pointer doesn't help. > What you \*might\* be able to do is store these data > on your disk and access them via an "associated > variable" method. (This is how ENVI works with large > files.) With an associated variable you associate > some kind of data structure with a file. You can > pull pieces of the data out of the file without having > to read the entire file into a variable all at once. This is, I think, your only hope with a 32-bit OS. > Cheers, > > David > > David Fanning, Ph.D. > Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming (www.dfanning.com) > Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

This is neither an IDL problem, nor a 32 bit cpu problem. A 10k by 90k by 10k data cube (of floats, say) is 36 \* 10^12 bytes = 32 Terabytes. The problem is that finding 32 Tb of RAM is...difficult

chris