## Subject: Re: update variable in structure Posted by Michael Galloy on Tue, 16 Jun 2009 16:19:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
M. Suklitsch wrote:
> Hi everybody!
>
>
> Today I have a question regarding the update of variables within a
  structure, which does not work as I would expect.
>
  Say we have a very simple program:
>
 ==========
  PRO update_value, input
>
  input = input MOD 5
>
> END
  ===========
 [In reality, this subroutine/program does some more sophisticated
  things, but this is sufficient to prove my point. ;-) ]
>
 Okay, now we call this routine with a variable holding an integer
> value.
> IDL> my_value = 8
> IDL> update_value, my_value
> IDL> help, my value
> MY VALUE
                                   3
                     INT
> So far, so good. Now we do exactly the same, but this time the
> variable is embedded in a structure:
> IDL> my_struct = {my_value:8}
> IDL> update_value, my_value
> IDL> help, my struct, /STRUC
  ** Structure <8220044>, 1 tags, length=2, data length=2, refs=1:
    MY VALUE
                    INT
                                8
>
> And now the rather simple question: how come this doesn't work?
> Normally IDL is eager to overwrite variables of any kind. On some
> occasions, I've seen it overwriting the "parental" variable of a
> duplicated one. And more important: is there a way to get the above
> thing working?
```

Well, I assume you mean to refer to the field in the structure you just created, as in:

IDL> update\_value, my\_struct.my\_value
IDL> help, my\_struct.my\_value
<Expression> INT = 8

The reason my\_struct.my\_value was not modified is that only "named variables" are passed by reference, so changes to them by the called routine will still be in effect at the caller level. The expression "my\_struct.my\_value" is not a named variable (named variables are just the name of a variable like "my\_value" was in your previous examples), so modification to it inside update\_value are only to a local variable.

- > Maybe important, maybe not: I'm working with IDL 7.0 and have tried it
- > on Solaris and Linux.

Should not matter for this.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com Associate Research Scientist Tech-X Corporation