On Jun 25, 5:14 pm, "Kenneth P. Bowman" <k-bow...@null.edu> wrote:
> In article <ywkuk530jtjl....@snowblow.colorado.edu>, sav...@nsidc.org
> wrote:
>
>> Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> writes:
>
>> I think the main reason it's not used is because it's so poorly named.
>> How would you find this if you just wanted to partition some data? I
>> can't remember who pointed it out to me first, but I do remember
>> thinking to myself, "Hmm, that's useful, wonder why I've never heard of
>> it".
>
>> Cheers,
>> Matt
>
> I think they knew that if they named it SEARCH it would conflict with
> thousands of user-written routines. :-)
>
> Ken

Pre-5.3, I had a homebrewed version of it called BSEARCH, that I
thought was pretty efficient but which gets blown out of the water by
VALUE_LOCATE!

-Jeremy.