Subject: Re: a serving of value_locate with a side of histogram Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Mon, 22 Jun 2009 04:44:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jun 22, 12:06 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Jun 21, 3:46 pm, Michael Galloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>> David Fanning wrote:
>>> Jeremy Bailin writes:
>>> Yeah, value_locate is very handy for problems like this! I
>>> particularly like using it as a precursor to histogram - i.e. if you
>>> want to do something fancy using reverse_indices but don't have
>>>> uniformly-spaced bins, first use value_locate to get integer indices
>>> and then use histogram to do the heavy lifting.
>>> All right, I'll bite. Let's see an example of this.
>>> Maybe you can write an article and become the JD Smith
>>> of Value Locate. :-)
>
>> No article, but I think this is what Jeremy is talking about:
>
>> IDL>; get some random data
>> IDL> d = randomu(12345678L, 20)
   IDL> print, d
       0.765989
                                0.589727
                                            0.535102
                                                         0.982231
                   0.0234537
   0.693016
                0.328147
       0.295642
                   0.849918
                                0.592262
                                            0.558133
                                                        0.534926
>> 0.541119
                0.594831
       0.410172
                   0.928598
                                0.161021
                                            0.928724
                                                        0.952072
>>
>> 0.522173
>> IDL>; specify cutoffs
>> IDL> cutoffs = [0.3, 0.4, 0.8]
>> IDL>; compute index of "bin" to put each value into
>> IDL> bins = value_locate(cutoffs, d) + 1L
>> IDL> print, ind
                           2
           2
                   0
                                   2
                                           3
>>
           1
                   0
>> 2
                   2
           3
                           2
                                   2
                                           2
>>
>> 2
           2
                   3
                   3
                           3
                                   2
           0
>>
>> IDL>; compute histogram of bins
>> IDL> h = histogram(bins, reverse indices=r)
```

```
>> IDL> print, h
                   1
                           11
                                    5
>>
>> IDL>; values less than 0.3
>> IDL> print, d[r[r[0]:r[1] - 1]]
      0.0234537
                  0.295642
                                 0.161021
>>
>
>> IDL>; values between 0.3 and 0.4
>> IDL> print, d[r[r[1]:r[2] - 1]]
       0.328147
>>
>> IDL>; values between 0.4 and 0.8
>> IDL> print, d[r[r[2]:r[3] - 1]]
       0.765989
                    0.589727
                                0.535102
                                             0.693016
                                                         0.592262
>> 0.558133
                0.534926
       0.541119
                   0.594831
                                0.410172
                                             0.522173
>> IDL>; values greater than 0.8
>> IDL> print, d[r[r[3]:r[4] - 1]]
       0.982231
                   0.849918
                                0.928598
                                             0.928724
                                                         0.952072
>
>> Mike
>> --www.michaelgalloy.com
>> Associate Research Scientist
>> Tech-X Corporation
>
  Yes, that's exactly the sort of thing. Here's another good example:
> Let's say you have 100,000 3D data points with each coordinate lying
> between 0 and 1, and you want to divide up the space into a grid
  10,000 x 10,000 x 10,000 and determine which grid cells contain more
  than one point. It sounds like a good job for HIST_ND:
>
  h = hist_nd([[x],[y],[z]], min=0., max=1., nbins=10000)
  print, array_indices(h, where(h gt 1))
>
  The only problem is that it would require an array of one trillion
>
  elements that takes up 4TB of memory!
  But in reality, at least 99.99% of those cells must be empty. First,
>
  let's get a list of which cells contain any points:
>
> xbin = floor(x / 1e-4)
> ybin = floor(y / 1e-4)
> zbin = floor(z / 1e-4)
> bin = x + 10000ULL*(y + 10000ULL*z)
> sortedbin = bin[sort(bin)]
> uniquebins = sortedbin[uniq(sortedbin)]
```

```
>
Now we can use uniquebins as a mapping function. The values of "bin"
> can range from 0 to 99999999999, but each one of those appears in
> "uniquebins", which has at most 100000 elements. So we replace each
  element in bin with *its location within uniquebins*:
>
  mappedbin = value_locate(uniquebins, bin)
>
>
 All elements of mappedbin are integers between 0 and 10000, which
  makes a perfectly reasonable histogram:
>
  h = histogram(mappedbin, min=0)
>
  The grid locations with multiple points are then:
> multipleindex = where(h gt 1, nmulti)
> if nmulti at 0 then begin
   xmulti = multipleindex mod 10000ull
   ymulti = (multipleindex mod 10000000ull) / 10000ull
   zmulti = multipleindex / 100000000ull
> endif
> ...and, of course, if you want to do anything with the data points
> that fall in those bins, you can do everything you'd normally do using
> reverse indices.
>
> This is exactly the technique I used in WITHINSPHRAD_VEC3D from this
  discussion:http://groups.google.com/group/comp.lang.idl-pvwa ve/browse thread/thr...
>
> I'll try to put together a bigger value_locate article later this
> week. :-)=
> -Jeremy.
I should learn to stop writing code at night. ;-) The grid locations
are really:
multipleindex = where(h qt 1, nmulti)
if nmulti gt 0 then begin
 xmulti = uniquebins[multipleindex] mod 10000ull
 ymulti = (uniquebins[multipleindex] mod 10000000ull) / 10000ull
 zmulti = uniquebins[multipleindex] / 100000000ull
endif
-Jeremy.
```