
Subject: Re: Technique for "method_missing" in IDL objects

Posted by [mankoff](#) on Fri, 17 Jul 2009 16:55:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jul 17, 12:20 pm, Paul van Delst <paul.vande...@noaa.gov> wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I'm stringing together a bunch of different objects into a container. When I define
> objects I always define an "Inspect" method so I can have a looker at the internals of the
> objects (like the ruby inspect method). However, the inspect method for the container
> simply loops over the objects that have been placed in it calling their inspect methods.
> So, if I reach an object that does not have an inspect method, is there a technique to
> pre-determine if I can even call the method to avoid the
>
> % Attempt to call undefined procedure/function: 'OBJ::INSPECT'
>
> error that I get?
>
> Ruby provides a "method_missing" method to enable one to handle this sort of thing. Does
> IDL have any sort of equivalent? Looking at the various ROUTINE_INFO,
RESOLVE_ROUTINE
> help, they don't appear to be that reliable.
>
> cheers,
>
> paulv

If a top level object has an INSPECT method, then it will always be found in a parent. I'm not sure if IDL objects have this ability, but can the top level INSPECT check to see if it was called by itself or a child? If so, and you never call it explicitly, then if a child is running the top level INSPECT it implies the child does not have its own INSPECT.

-k.
