
Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y
Posted by [Chris\[6\]](#) on Sun, 12 Jul 2009 19:40:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jul 12, 5:58 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hi Vince,
> Thanks for the info.
> I checked the int_tabulated. But the IDL help files indicate "Data
> that is highly oscillatory requires a sufficient number of samples for
> an accurate integral approximation."
> I am not sure for 10 to 12 samples what would work best. I will search
> google to find more information on this. If you know of any paper
> about comparison, please feel to send it.

>
> Regards,
> Vijay

>
> On Jul 11, 1:07 pm, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>> On Jul 11, 11:43 am, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>>> On Jul 11, 12:39 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>>>> Hi,
>>>> Is there any subroutine in IDL that allows to computes an
>>>> approximation of the cumulative integral of Y via the trapezoidal
>>>> method (with unit spacing)?

>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Vijay

>
>>> INT_TABULATED() works nicely (not really what you want, but better?)

>
>>> It would be easy enough to write using SHIFT(). Something like

>
>>> y2 = (y+shift(y,1))/2
>>> x2 = (x+shift(x,1))/2
>>> integral = total(x2*y2); or total(x2*y2,/cumulative)

>
>>> You have to figure out how to deal with the "ends" from the shift...

>
>> Let's see, I think that should be:

>> x2 = x-shift(x,1)
>> or use delta x if it doesn't change

>
>

Check out

<http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/qtrap.pro>

Chris
