Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y Posted by Chris[6] on Sun, 12 Jul 2009 19:40:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jul 12, 5:58 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Hi Vince.
> Thanks for the info.
> I checked the int_tabulated. But the IDL help files indicate "Data
> that is highly oscillatory requires a sufficient number of samples for
> an accurate integral approximation."
> I am not sure for 10 to 12 samples what would work best. I will search
> google to find more information on this. If you know of any paper
> about comparison, please feel to send it.
>
> Regards,
> Vijay
 On Jul 11, 1:07 pm, Vince Hradil < vincehra...@gmail.com > wrote:
>> On Jul 11, 11:43 am, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On Jul 11, 12:39 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Hi,
>>>> Is there any subroutine in IDL that allows to computes an
>>> approximation of the cumulative integral of Y via the trapezoidal
>>> method (with unit spacing)?
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Vijay
>>> INT_TABULATED() works nicely (not really what you want, but better?)
>>> It would be easy enough to write using SHIFT(). Something like
>
>>> y2 = (y+shift(y,1))/2
>>> x2 = (x+shift(x,1))/2
>>> integral = total(x2*y2); or total(x2*y2,/cumulative)
>>> You have to figure out how to deal with the "ends" from the shift...
>
>> Let's see, I think that should be:
\Rightarrow x2 = x-shift(x,1)
>> or use delta x if it doesn't change
>
>
```

Check out

http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/qtrap.pro

Chris