
Subject: Re: An approximation of the cumulative integral of Y
Posted by [Vijay Shah](#) on Sun, 12 Jul 2009 15:58:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Vince,

Thanks for the info.

I checked the `int_tabulated`. But the IDL help files indicate "Data that is highly oscillatory requires a sufficient number of samples for an accurate integral approximation."

I am not sure for 10 to 12 samples what would work best. I will search google to find more information on this. If you know of any paper about comparison, please feel to send it.

Regards,
Vijay

On Jul 11, 1:07 pm, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Jul 11, 11:43 am, Vince Hradil <vincehra...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>> On Jul 11, 12:39 am, Vijay Shah <vijayps...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>>> Hi,

>>> Is there any subroutine in IDL that allows to computes an

>>> approximation of the cumulative integral of Y via the trapezoidal

>>> method (with unit spacing)?

>

>>> Regards,

>>> Vijay

>

>> `INT_TABULATED()` works nicely (not really what you want, but better?)

>

>> It would be easy enough to write using `SHIFT()`. Something like

>

>> `y2 = (y+shift(y,1))/2`

>> `x2 = (x+shift(x,1))/2`

>> `integral = total(x2*y2);` or `total(x2*y2,/cumulative)`

>

>> You have to figure out how to deal with the "ends" from the shift...

>

> Let's see, I think that should be:

> `x2 = x-shift(x,1)`

> or use delta x if it doesn't change
