
Subject: Re: SIZE() vs. N_ELEMENTS()

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 16 Jul 2009 20:04:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wlandsman writes:

```
> Immediately after posting I realized that the answer to the above
> question is "yes". In the following code using ASSOC(), N_Elements(a)
> equals 1, but size(a,/N_elements) equals 2.  --Wayne
>
>
> b =3D {a:indgen(10),b:fltarr(100)}
> openw,lun,'test.dat',/get_lun
> writeu,lun,replicate(b,12)
> close,lun
>
> openr,lun,'test.dat'
> c =3D replicate(b,2)
> a =3D assoc(lun,c)
> print,size(a,/n_elements)
> print,N_elements(a)
```

Yes, but which is *correct*? :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming (www.dfanning.com)

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
