
Subject: Re: Saved Object Question

Posted by [penteado](#) on Thu, 20 Aug 2009 22:26:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Aug 20, 7:03 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Yeah, I thought of that, too. I decided against
> it because if you have a large path, you would have
> to search the whole damn thing multiple times while
> you were looking for superclasses. I didn't actually
> test it, but it "felt" slow to me.

It feels slow to me, too. Also, not much point in keep testing to avoid doing things when catch can do both the test and the intended operation, and thus might look nicer (if made GOTO-less).

Since call_procedure will do the same search that file_which would, using file_which first would mean search twice in the cases the file is found. But no disk searches would be done using the help output, just a quick string array search.
