

---

Subject: Re: How to obtain the process ID of the current IDL process in a platform-independent way?

Posted by [tcburt](#) on Fri, 04 Sep 2009 11:13:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sep 4, 2:25 am, Michael Galloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:

> tcburt wrote:

>> \*\*\* Question

>> Is there a platform-independent "IDL way" to obtain the process ID of

>> the current IDL process?

>

>> \*\*\* Background

>> I need the process ID (PID) of the current IDL process. Currently I

>> have a working solution for a specific platform (Solaris 9 and 10),

>> specifically

>> IDLUnix> pid = CALL\_EXTERNAL("/lib/sparcv9/libc.so", 'getpid')

>> The reliance on a library from the operating system library limits the

>> applicability to that particular platform and installation, so I

>> consider it only a provisional solution.

>

>> A recent discovery is the Unix libidl.so library that is in the IDL

>> (v6.4 and v7.0) installation directory. Dumping the contents with

>> shellUnix> elfdump -s libidl.so | less

>> revealed the existence of a 'getpid' function that returns the PID via

>> IDLUnix> pid = call\_external(!dml\_path+'/libidl.so', 'getpid', /  
>> cdecl)

>> This is one step towards platform independence since the library is

>> from IDL rather than the operating system and its location is stored

>> in an IDL system variable. I have not yet tested on anything but the

>> Solaris 10 systems, so this may not work on other Unix systems

>> (e.g. linux).

>

>> I then turned to a Windows installation of IDL (v 6.2) and did not

>> find a library called libidl.dll in the !dml\_path, but did find

>> idl32.dll. I guessed[\*] that this library would have 'getpid' as the

>> entry symbol, so I tried

>> IDLWindows> pid = call\_external(!dml\_path+'idl32.dll', 'getpid', /  
>> cdecl)

>> The resulting error

>> % CALL\_EXTERNAL: Error loading sharable executable.

>> indicates that the problems go deeper than just whether the symbol is

>> in the library. Even if the call\_external() had worked under Windows,

>> the method could have potential problems with internal changes to IDL

>> (e.g. library name change from idl32.dll to idl.dll).

>

>> I seek the "IDL way" to obtain the PID. Searches in idlhelp,

>> comp.lang.idl-pvwave, and Google have not revealed the way. It is a

>> testament to the usefulness of this newsgroup over the past few years

>> that other questions I had were already answered in the archives. I  
>> ask for your insight about the existence of robust solutions and  
>> pointers to more fruitful paths (such as writing specific external  
>> functions to determine the PID rather than using the libraries  
>> delivered with IDL).  
>  
>> In appreciation for benefits already obtained,  
>> Tim  
>  
>> [\*] I guessed because I do not know how to dump the contents of a  
>> Windows DLL. Local gurus will likely be able to help me remove  
>> this layer of ignorance.  
>> [^] % CALL\_EXTERNAL: Error loading sharable executable.  
>  
> I don't know of an easy way of doing this. There is a C routine  
> IDL\_GetUserInfo(IDL\_USER\_INFO \*user\_info) where \*user\_info has a field  
> pid. I have a simple DLM that does this, but you would have to build it  
> on all the platforms you need it on. I was going to make the project  
> this is part of available sooner or later; I can try to get it ready  
> earlier if that would be useful.  
It would certainly be useful. Since the routine is documented by  
IDL, confidence is higher that it might be a stable solution.

Thank you for the suggestion and hope,  
Tim

>  
> Mike  
> --www.michaelgalloy.com  
> Associate Research Scientist  
> Tech-X Corporation

---