

---

Subject: Re: advise for saving a for-loop

Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Tue, 15 Sep 2009 14:12:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sep 15, 5:21 am, Bernhard Reinhardt

<wirdseltengele...@freisingnet.de> wrote:

> Jean H. wrote:

>> Bernhard Reinhardt wrote:

>>> Hi,

>

>>> I don't know if there's a special term for what I'm trying to do:

>

>>> I have two 2D arrays of the same size (msg\_x and msg\_y) which contain

>>> x- and y-values. So msg\_x consists of rows which contain mainly the

>>> same values and msg\_y consists of columns which contain mainly the

>>> same values. But it has to be mentioned that values are slightly changing

>>> in a row or column. That's what makes things nasty.

>>> For msg\_y it means, it may look like:

>>> 1000 1000 1000 1000 [...] 1001 1001 1001 1001 [...] 1002 1002

>>> 1001 1001 1001 1001 [...] 1002 1002 1002 1002 [...] 1003 1003

>>> 1002 1002 1002 [...] 1003 1003 1003 1003 [...] 1004 1004 1004

>

>>> I also have two linear arrays li\_x and li\_y of the same size. I now

>>> want to make a map with the same dimensions of msg\_x with a 1 where

>>> the points in the linear arrays match into the pseudo-grid and 0

>>> elsewhere.

>

>>> Here's how I do it at the moment:

>

>>> for i=0, N\_ELEMENTS(li\_x)-1 do begin

>>> ind=WHERE(msg\_x eq li\_x[i] AND msg\_y eq li\_y[i])

>>> if ind[0] ne -1 then ligrid[ind] = 1

>>> endfor

>

>>> The 2-D arrays have sizes of 600x600 or 1800x1800 and the linear

>>> arrays are of size 10000.

>

>>> This means where has to search 10000 over the two 2D-arrays which

>>> takes some time.

>

>>> I guess there must be a smarter way to do. I thought about some

>>> solutions involving sort and histogram but so far I couldn't come up

>>> with a solution without for-loops.

>

>>> I'd be pleased if someone of you could enlighten me.

>

>>> Regards,

>

```

>>> Bernhard
>
>> Hi Bernhard,
>
>> yes, histogram is the way to go. You will want to 1) intersect msg_x and
>> li_x, then 2) msg_y and li_y and 3) the output of 1 and 2 (index based)
>
>> Have a look at
>> http://www.dfanning.com/tips/set\_operations.html
>
>> you can get ri from the 1st histogram and return the following, to get
>> the index:
>
>> r = Where((Histogram(a, Min=mina, Max=maxa, reverse_indices=ri) NE 0)
>> AND (Histogram(b, Min=mina, Max=maxa) NE 0), count)
>
>> IF count eq 0 THEN RETURN, -1
>> toReturn = ri[ri[r[0]]:ri[r[0]+1]-1]
>
>> for Rcount = 1, count-1 do begin
>> toReturn = [toReturn,ri[ri[r[Rcount]]:ri[r[Rcount]+1]-1]]
>> endfor
>
> Hi Jean,
>
> I tamed the beast - well at least it seems it's doing what I expect for
> now. I modified your suggested code a bit. Intersecting the resulting
> indices is the wrong way to go. It would yield way too much "hits".
>
> I now look at indices of values that exist in both msg_x and li_x and
> then where the corresponding y-values in both arrays match, too. But I
> have to do it for one single x-value at a time. But although I have a
> double for-loop, speed-up is about 200x :)
>
> mina=min(msg_x)
> maxa=max(msg_x)
> r = Where((Histogram(msg_x, Min=mina, Max=maxa,
> reverse_indices=rim) $ NE 0) AND (Histogram(li_x, Min=mina, Max=maxa,$
> REVERSE_INDICES=ril) $
> NE 0), count)
> IF count gt 0 THEN begin
> for Rcount = 0, count-1 do begin
> lind=ril[ril[r[Rcount]]:ril[r[Rcount]+1]-1]
> mind=rim[rim[r[Rcount]]:rim[r[Rcount]+1]-1]
> for i=0, N_ELEMENTS(lind)-1 do begin
> ind=where(msg_y[mind] eq li_y[lind[i]])
> if ind[0] ne -1 then ligrid[mind[ind]] = 1
> ;ligrid is the map, same dim as msg_x and msg_y

```

```
>   endfor
>   endfor
>   endif
>
> Bernhard
```

Why don't you generate one for msg\_x and li\_x using the technique you have, another for msg\_y and li\_y, and then multiply them together? That'll save the for loop, if you can afford the memory of having an extra 2D array sitting around.

-Jeremy.

---