
Subject: Re: i don't see how to summarize it into an object name... :)

Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Fri, 18 Sep 2009 14:04:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sep 17, 3:32 pm, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

```
> [skip]
>
>> for i=1,3 then begin
>
>>   dummy = execute(plot,arr_+string(i,format='(i1)')
>
>> endfor
>
> Is it just me that feels that such a code is quite ugly
> and obscure?
>
> I mean, if we start using "execute" every time we encounter
> some IDL coding difficulties, we may end up with programs
> that look like the following incomprehensible and marginally
> useful piece of code (that I am pretty sure the google groups
> post utilities will break up in all the wrong places).
>
> execute='(i=execute("'"&execute=execute(execute+'"' +$
> '))--')&i$1i='print'&i1$i='scope_varname'&i2$i=' s'+$
> 'trim'&i$i='i'&i$i=i$+'$i=(' +i2$i+'(' +i1$i+'(' +$
> i$i+')'+',' +i$i+'++1)))[0]'&execute=execute(i$i)&i=$
> ' for ' +i$i+' = '&execute=execute('i2$i='+i2$i+'(' +$
> call_function(i2$i,execute) +',2'))&execute=i+i2$i+$
> ', '+i2$i+i2$i+' do ' +i$1i+', '+i$i
> execute=execute(execute)
>
> Ciao,
> Paolo
>
> PS: I can't offer any excuse for posting this, other
> than it seems this group has become more boring
> in David's absence...
```

You're a sick man, Paolo. ;-)

-Jeremy.
