```
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
Y.T. wrote:
> So I'm kinda living under a rock:
      IDL Version 6.3, Microsoft Windows (Win32 x86 m32)
>
>
> so I'm curious whether this is intended/expected behaviour or a bug or
  what (and whether it has change in recent years):
>
> IDL> t = lindgen(7)
> IDL> print,t
                 1
                         2
                                  3
                                          4
         0
>
          6
 5
>
 IDL> n=5*indgen(5)
  IDL> print,n
      0
            5
                 10
                        15
                              20
>
 IDL > t[n] = 100
 IDL> print,t
                   1
                           2
                                   3
        100
                                           4
>
  100
            100
  So element number 0 got set to 100 (OK), element number 5 got set to
  100 and ... element number 6 also got set to 100?
>
> Why is that? I understand that I'm specifying elements "out of
> range" (number 10 and 15 etc) - is that the reason? Is this
> documented? It took me by surprise...
If you would rather have an error thrown in this case, do
 compile_opt strictarrsubs
before you do your indexing:
IDL > d = findgen(10)
IDL> print, d[[-1, 0, 5, 10, 11]]
    0.00000
                0.00000
                            5.00000
                                        9.00000
                                                    9.00000
IDL> compile_opt strictarrsubs
IDL> print, d[[-1, 0, 5, 10, 11]]
% Array used to subscript array contains out of range subscript: D.
% Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

Posted by Michael Galloy on Tue, 27 Oct 2009 18:48:26 GMT

Mike

Subject: Re: Invalid indices?

www.michaelgalloy.com Research Mathematician Tech-X Corporation