Subject: Re: Randomu seed initialization Posted by Conor on Fri, 13 Nov 2009 16:31:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for the input guys:

Jeremy:

I've checked in my primary function, and the variable I pass is uninitialized at first (obviously it becomes initialized after the first call to randomu() and stays that way). I haven't specifically checked that it is uninitialized in the subroutine, but the subroutine is only about 5 lines long, and I'm certainly not initializing it. So if it is initialized, then that's certainly an IDL bug (and it seems unlikely to me).

Matt:

I checked this possiblity, by making a couple simple routines:

pro test print,randomu(seed,1) test2 end pro test2 print,randomu(seed,1) end

Run this and you will get two different answers out - i.e. the random seed is not initialized to the same value more than once. Which is why I don't understand what's going on with my routine: conceptually, this is the simple case of what I'm doing in my routine. This is why I really wish I knew what randomu() was doing. I'm wondering (and there are kinda hints to this in the documentation) if there is a hidden "global" seed, which is initialized by the first call to randomu (), and used by all subsequent calls to randomu() that have an uninitialized seed. Either that or the initialization of the seed is quasi-random each time (which would be much better, IMO).

Chris:

Thanks for the suggestion, that's what I've done for now, and it fixes it. I just wish I understood what is going on.

Paolo: I could definitely go for some better documentation! Presumably if it was better documented I would be able to avoid problems like this, and I would (perhaps) be better able to determine what I was doing wrong, or if this is a bug. As near as I can determine I'm using randomu properly (it's not exactly a complicated function). To uninitialized variables go into two separate calls to randomu(), and the same random numbers come out both times. Is that supposed to happen ever?