Subject: Re: EXTRA KEYWORD Posted by ben.bighair on Thu, 12 Nov 2009 00:23:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Nov 11, 5:47 pm, mankoff <mank...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Nov 9, 1:09 am, Reimar Bauer <R.Ba...@fz-juelich.de> wrote:
>
>> dandan schrieb:
>>> Hi, everyone,
>>> Dose _EXTRA pass the keywords from the caller to called routine or the
>>> opposite?
>
>> You may be also interested into the _REF_EXTRA keyword.
>> The presence of a name in the _REF_EXTRA value indicates that a keyword
>> of that name was passed, and its value is available to be passed on in a
>> function or procedure call (using either _EXTRA or _STRICT_EXTRA).
>> cheers
>> Reimar
 I still have not entirely wrapped my head around _EXTRA, _REF_EXTRA,
 and STRICT EXTRA despite numerous readings of discussions on this
  group about these keywords.
>
> Does anyone have a graphical explanation of these? A flow-chart with
> arrows and diamonds and YES/NO keywords perhaps? I have a feeling that
> would get the concept across. If not, perhaps I'll take what I do
 understand of these keywords and try to create such a chart.
   -k.
>
Hi,
```

I am not sure if this analogy is correct, but it works for my limited use. Assume that \_EXTRA and \_REF\_EXTRA are fishing lines.

\_REF\_EXTRA has bait and a hook so you can pull things back. A REF EXTRA is passed by reference - so you can send in a value but if it is modified (like an output keyword) then the reference will reflect that change. This is how you can land the trout, so to speak.

On the other hand, \_EXTRA has bait but no hook so you can send things down in but not get them back. That is because \_EXTRA is passed by value - any modifications the trout makes under water are hidden from you.

\_STRICT\_EXTRA is just like \_EXTRA, but if you use the wrong kind of bait the trout will complain (or issue an error and stop if they know any IDL).

Even if my analogy is correct, it might be too simplistic to be complete. Hope it helps.

Cheers, Ben