
Subject: Re: Regression with NaN's
Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Wed, 25 Nov 2009 14:50:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you take the logarithm of a negative number, NaN is about as good an answer as you can hope for :)

You shouldn't really throw away your data just because it's negative, but if you insist that you don't want those, just remove the negatives before calling log and you'll be all set.

However, if I were the referee of your paper and you would describe that you just throw away negative data I would be very critical of that -
might as well take away all your data and do theory instead, no? ;)

Ciao,
Paolo

On Nov 25, 9:10 am, Dave_Poreh <d.po...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Folks
> I want to do some regression on my data. In my data there is an ALOG
> function that makes my data somewhere (which I don't know where) as
> NaN's. I just want to do regression (with regress or linfit) without
> these NaN's.
> Any help highly would be appreciated
> Cheers
