Subject: Re: IDL 8.0 compile\_opt changes Posted by M. Katz on Sat, 19 Dec 2009 16:13:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have 400,000 lines of code in my libraries. Maybe 100,000 is "active." I would avoid upgrading to avoid having to convert every old () to [] in code I wrote years ago but still rely on. I favor the .pro and .prx split as an elegant solution for seamless recognition of compile options, that preserves the function of old code with the least amount of work. If there are .pro and .prx routines with the same name, the compiler could choose the .prx by default, or have a preference setting that allows an override.