
Subject: Re: Removing if then else loop for efficiency
Posted by [penteado](#) on Tue, 12 Jan 2010 16:04:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 12, 11:09 am, Tom Ashbee <tlash...@googlemail.com> wrote:

> On Jan 10, 6:25 pm, pp <pp.pente...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>> I think this does the same as your code, but it does not use any

>> loops, and it should be much faster and easier to read:

>

>> function velocities,t,xyvec

>> compile_opt idl2

>> ;Constants

>> N=50

>> R=5d0

>> ;Unpack the input

>> xvec=xyvec[0:N-1]

>> yvec=xyvec[N:(N*2)-1]

>> ;Temporary arrays for x[j], x[i], y[j], y[i]

>> xj=rebin(xvec,N,N)

>> xi=transpose(xj)

>> yj=rebin(yvec,N,N)

>> yi=transpose(yj)

>> ;Repeated terms in the expressions

>> tmp1=(xi-xj)^2+(yi-yj)^2

>> tmp2=R^2/(xj^2+yj^2)

>> tmp3=(xi-xj*tmp2)^2+(yi-yj*tmp2)^2

>> ;Terms of dxdt,dydt present everywhere

>> dxdt=-(yi-yj*tmp2)/tmp3

>> dydt=(xi-xj*tmp2)/tmp3

>> ;Terms present only out of the diagonal

>> tmp4=1d0-identity(N) ;this is 0 in the diagonal, 1 out of it

>> dxdt+=((yj-yi)/tmp1)*tmp4

>> dydt-=((xi-xj)/tmp1)*tmp4

>> ;Put the gamma factor

>> gamm=rebin(gamma(N,2.0d,10.0d)/(2d0*!dpi),N,N) ;this does not seem to

>> be IDL's gamma function

>> dxdt*=gamm

>> dydt*=gamm

>> ;Sum over the rows

>> dxvecdt=total(dxdt,1)

>> dyvecdt=total(dydt,1)

>> ;Pack the results

>> z=[dxvecdt,dyvecdt]

>> return,z

>> end

```

>
>> You should check that I did not misidentify anything, which would not
>> have been difficult in such convoluted expressions.
>
>> Other points to note:
>
>> 1) Do not use () for array indexes. Use [] instead. That makes it
>> unambiguous that it is an array index, and not a function call.
>
>> 2) When using doubles, as you did, use !dpi instead of !pi.
>
>> 3) Your function has an argument t that is not used anywhere in it. I
>> left it there, so that the argument order does not change.
>
> Hi,
>
> thanks a lot for this; it was very insightful and helpful.
> Unfortunately it's just giving NaNs for z at the moment but I'm
> working on debugging it.

```

Now that you mention it, I see a reason for the NaNs. The lines

```

tmp4=1d0-identity(N) ;this is 0 in the diagonal, 1 out of it
dxdt+=((yj-yi)/tmp1)*tmp4
dydt=-((xi-xj)/tmp1)*tmp4

```

were intended to add to dxdt only in the off-diagonal elements, by multiplying the diagonal elements of ((yj-yi)/tmp1) by 0 (same for dydt). But these diagonal elements are some non finite value (some form of NaN or Infinity), so their product with 0 is not 0, it is some NaN.

One way to get around this is to replace those 7 lines with:

```

;Terms of dxdt,dydt present only out of the diagonal
dxdt=((yj-yi)/tmp1)
dydt=-((xi-xj)/tmp1)
;Reset to 0 the diagonal elements
dxdt[0:N*N-1:N+1]=0d0
dydt[0:N*N-1:N+1]=0d0
;Terms of dxdt,dydt present everywhere
dxdt=(yi-yj*tmp2)/tmp3
dydt+=(xi-xj*tmp2)/tmp3

```
