Subject: Re: IDL 8.0 compile\_opt changes Posted by chris\_torrence@NOSPAM on Tue, 05 Jan 2010 18:58:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Jan 5, 7:09 am, Reimar Bauer < R.Ba...@fz-juelich.de> wrote:
> Reimar Bauer schrieb:
>
>
>> Chris Torrence schrieb:
>>> Hi all,
>>> I'm writing to you to ask your opinion on some potential changes in
>>> IDL 8.0. We have made some enhancements to the language to support the
>>> new graphics functions, and to make IDL simpler to learn, especially
>>> for new users.
>> Hi Chris
>> Happy new year to you and ittvis. Thanks for asking us.
>>> The primary change is the use of the dot "." for object method calls.
>>> The use of the "." for method calls is now industry standard, for
>>> example in languages such as Java, Python, etc. For example, in IDL
>>> 8.0, the following code will create a plot, and retrieve the first
>>> child object:
       p = PLOT(x,y)
>>>
       child = p.Get(index)
>>>
>> I support this change. I felt always the attempt of doing OO in idl is
>> extremly uncomfortable and ugly compared to python.
>> I think this change is important for new users or old users using OO in
>> different languages.
>
> if I see this right I can't have a var plot or do we have now case
> sensitives vars too?
>
 That is only a minor issue in comparison that I am quite sure the icg
> idl plotlib becomes broken by removing the old direct graphics methods
> anyway.
  e.g.http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source
>
/idl_work/fr_...http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icgli b/idl_source/idl_html/gif...
  orhttp://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/i
dl work/rb ...http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl icglib/ idl source/idl html/gif...
>
```

- > Almost all of our publications are based on that library.
- >
- > Reimar

Hi Reimar,

We are not removing anything from IDL 8.0. All of the direct graphics routines are still there, and will work as they did before. Cheers,

Chris