

---

Subject: Re: IDL 8.0 compile\_opt changes  
Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Tue, 05 Jan 2010 14:57:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Jan 5, 9:09 am, Reimar Bauer <R.Ba...@fz-juelich.de> wrote:

> Reimar Bauer schrieb:

>

>

>

>> Chris Torrence schrieb:

>>> Hi all,

>

>>> I'm writing to you to ask your opinion on some potential changes in  
>>> IDL 8.0. We have made some enhancements to the language to support the  
>>> new graphics functions, and to make IDL simpler to learn, especially  
>>> for new users.

>

>> Hi Chris

>

>> Happy new year to you and itvis. Thanks for asking us.

>

>>> The primary change is the use of the dot "." for object method calls.  
>>> The use of the "." for method calls is now industry standard, for  
>>> example in languages such as Java, Python, etc. For example, in IDL  
>>> 8.0, the following code will create a plot, and retrieve the first  
>>> child object:

>

>>> p = PLOT(x,y)  
>>> child = p.Get(index)

>

>> I support this change. I felt always the attempt of doing OO in idl is  
>> extremely uncomfortable and ugly compared to python.  
>> I think this change is important for new users or old users using OO in  
>> different languages.

>

> if I see this right I can't have a var plot or do we have now case  
> sensitives vars too?

>

> That is only a minor issue in comparison that I am quite sure the icg  
> idl plotlib becomes broken by removing the old direct graphics methods  
> anyway.

>

> e.g.[http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl\\_icglib/idl\\_source](http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_work/fr_...http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_html/gif...)  
[/idl\\_work/fr\\_...http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl\\_icglib/idl\\_source/idl\\_html/gif...](http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_html/gif...)

>

> or[http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl\\_icglib/idl\\_source/i](http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_work/rb_...http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_html/gif...)  
[dl\\_work/rb\\_...http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl\\_icglib/idl\\_source/idl\\_html/gif...](http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-1/idl_icglib/idl_source/idl_html/gif...)

>

> Almost all of our publications are based on that library.  
>  
> Reimar

Hi Reimar - as of now I believe there's no conflict  
between structures and functions.

You can have a structure called

```
sqrt={a:1,b:2}
```

and the function sqrt() will still work,  
for instance the following slightly  
obfuscated command works well:

```
IDL> print,sqrt(sqrt.b)  
1.41421
```

So why do you expect a conflict in the new version  
between your structure "plot" and a function plot()  
that returns an object?

Ciao,  
Paolo

---