
Subject: Re: performing multiple histograms without loops

Posted by MC on Tue, 02 Feb 2010 09:48:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Feb 2, 6:25 pm, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

```
> I thought it would be worth expanding on the technique that I used in
> response
> to Ed's question, because it's a very useful one. The basic idea is
> this:
> suppose I want to use HISTOGRAM not on one a single set of N data
> points, but
> independently on multiple (say M) sets each of N data points. The
> simple solution
> is to use a for loop, but if M is large the IDL loop penalty soon
> becomes a problem. Is it possible to avoid a loop?
>
> The answer is yes, and the trick is to modify the data in each of the
> M data
> sets so that they don't overlap, and then use a single HISTOGRAM on
> all
> of them at once.
>
> For a concrete example, let's say that we have 5 data sets, each with
> 10
> data points that are small integers (for convenience - if your data
> doesn't
> look like this but contains individual values, then you can use a
> combination
> of UNIQ and VALUE_LOCATE to turn it into this form, or just
> VALUE_LOCATE
> if you need to bin different values together).
>
> set1 = [4,1,2,3,1,3,2,2,1,1]
> set2 = [2,3,1,3,4,2,2,0,4]
> set3 = [2,2,0,3,4,1,2,3,1,1]
> set4 = [1,4,2,4,1,4,2,4,3,3]
> set5 = [0,4,1,2,1,4,2,2,3,4]
> set6 = [3,4,1,0,0,1,1,0,2,1]
> datasets = [[set1],[set2],[set3],[set4],[set5],[set6]]
>
> datasets is now a 10x6 array with all of the data:
>
> IDL> print, datasets
>   4   1   2   3   1   3   2   2
> 1   1
>   2   3   1   3   4   2   2   0
> 0   4
>   2   2   0   3   4   1   2   3
```

```

> 1 1
> 1 4 2 4 1 4 2 4
> 3 3
> 0 4 1 2 1 4 2 2
> 3 4
> 3 4 1 0 0 1 1 0
> 2 1
>
> If we want to print out the histogram of each one, the traditional way
> would be
> to put a HISTOGRAM command inside a for loop:
>
> datasetsize=size(datasets,/dimen)
> ndatasets=datasetsize[1]
> minval=min(datasets)
> maxval=max(datasets)
> for i=0,ndatasets-1 do print, histogram(datasets[:,i], min=minval,
> max=maxval)
> 0 4 3 2 1
> 2 1 3 2 2
> 1 3 3 2 1
> 0 2 2 2 4
> 1 2 3 1 3
> 3 4 1 1 1
>
> But we can do it within a single histogram by adding 5 to all values
> in set2
> so that it runs from 5 to 9, 10 to all the values in set3 so that it
> runs
> from 10 to 14, etc.
>
> datasets_new = datasets - minval
> dataspan = maxval-minval+1
> datasets_new += rebin(transpose(lindgen(ndatasets)*dataspan), size
> (datasets,/dimen))
>
> IDL> print, datasets_new
> 4 1 2 3 1
> 3
> 2 2 1 1
> 7 8 6 8 9
> 7
> 7 5 5 9
> 12 12 10 13 14
> 11
> 12 13 11 11
> 16 19 17 19 16
> 19

```

```

>      17      19      18      18
>      20      24      21      22      21
> 24
>      22      22      23      24
>      28      29      26      25      25
> 26
>      26      25      27      26
>
> Now, if we perform a histogram of datasets_new, the 1s from set1 don't
> interfere
> with the 1s from set2 (which are now 6s), or the 1s from set3 (which
> are
> now 11s), etc. A single histogram will effectively perform a histogram
> of each set independently:
>
> h_new = histogram(datasets_new, min=0, max=ndatasets*daspan-1,
> bin=1)
>
> But the 6 histograms are all jammed up against each other inside h!
> How
> do we get them out?
>
> h_new = reform(h_new, daspan, ndatasets)
>
> IDL> print, h
>      0      4      3      2      1
>      2      1      3      2      2
>      1      3      3      2      1
>      0      2      2      2      4
>      1      2      3      1      3
>      3      4      1      1      1
>
> We can compare to the loop version and see that it does indeed give
> the
> right answer.
>
> "Alright," you say, "but the main reason I use HISTOGRAM is because of
> REVERSE_INDICES. How do I get those out?"
>
> If you want the reverse indices for data set j, they can be easily
> extracted from the reverse indices of the full histogram. If we
> create the histogram as:
>
> h_new = reform(histogram(datasets_new, min=0,
> max=ndatasets*daspan-1, bin=1, $
> reverse_indices=ri), daspan, ndatasets)
>
> then the i-vector (in JD's terminology) for data set j runs from

```

```

> ri[j*daspan] to ri[(j+1)*daspan-1]. These can be used directly
> to index the o-vector. To get the original element in data set j
> from the value in the o-vector, subtract j*dasetsize[0]. For
> example,
> where are the 3's in datasets[* ,1]?
>
> IDL> print, ri[ri[1*daspan+3]:ri[1*daspan+3+1]-1] - 1*dasetsize
> [0]
>      1      3
>
> A more interesting question is "where are the 3's in all of the
> datasets"?
> This can in fact be done without loops! First, let's look at the loop
> version:
>
> for i=0,ndatasets-1 do begin
>   h = histogram(datasets[* ,i], min=minval, max=maxval,
>   reverse_indices=ri1)
>   if h[3] gt 0 then print, ri1[ri1[3]:ri1[3+1]-1]
> endfor
>      3      5
>      1      3
>      3      7
>      8      9
>      8
>      0
>
> Using a combination of chunk indexing and "chunk index generation"
> (i.e. the solution to Wox's problem of a few weeks ago):
>
> n = h_new[lindgen(ndatasets)*daspan+3]
> h2=histogram(total(n>0,/CUMULATIVE,/int)-1,/
> BINSIZE,MIN=0,REVERSE_INDICES=ri2)
> nh=n_elements(h2)
> chunkind=ri2[0:nh-1]-ri2[0]
> l1=((l=lindgen(((nm=ndatasets>(max(n))))),nm) mod nm))[where((l lt $
> (rebin(transpose(n),nm,nm,/sample))))]
> where3 = [[chunkind],[ri[ri[chunkind*daspan+3]+l1] mod dasetsize
> [0]]]
>
> where3 is now a N3 x 2 array containing the data set and index within
> that
> data set of every value of 3 (of which there are N3=10 in this
> example):
>
> IDL> print, transpose(where3)
>      0      3
>      0      5

```

```
>      1      1
>      1      3
>      2      3
>      2      7
>      3      8
>      3      9
>      4      8
>      5      0
```

```
>
```

```
> ----
```

```
> One caveat with this method is that it can be quite wasteful of
> memory.
> The full histogram contains dataspan x ndatasets entries, but really
> only
> ndatasets^2 of them can be non-zero. If dataspan is much larger than
> ndatasets,
> as might be the case if the values from each data set don't appear in
> the
> other data sets, then you might run into memory problems pretty
> quickly.
> You can try to work around this a bit by using UNIQ to compress the
> values
> that get fed into the histogram, but it makes it much more complicated
> to extract
> the original information back out.
>
> -Jeremy.
```

Sorry but it not at all clear to me that this is a good idea, you have to search all the datasets to make sure you get no overlaps and determine the offsets (which have to be added) and may also run into integer problems for large integer data sets. Comments?

Cheers
