
Subject: Re: IDL to C++ compiler

Posted by [Tim Patterson](#) on Mon, 16 Sep 1996 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ding Wu wrote:

>
> Not true! Maybe you are confused by their runtime version and the
> stand-alone royalty free routine.
>
> Tim Patterson (tim@raptor.lpl.arizona.edu)wrote: :
> : I'm sure somebody on the newsgroup will correct me if I'm wrong,
> : but I seem to recall a post some time back saying that RSI
> : (the guys who produced IDL) have the capability of converting
> : IDL code into a stand-alone routine (presumably by linking
> : in all the IDL libraries and routines statically?)
> :
> : You may want to email RSI directly and ask them about this.
> :
> : Tim

Well, I used Deja News to search for the thread I was thinking of:

" Compiling IDL ... ever likey ?"

and this was quoted in it:

"A few thoughts about the (ever-recurring) IDL compiler discussion:

1. One exists for internal use at RSI. When one makes a big application for redistribution they can create an executable version of of your application for you. This is quite expensive. The Visible Human CD is a demonstration of this approach."

I guess you contacted RSI and they said this was innacurate?
Soudns like it would be more expensive than buying some run-time licenses anyway! :)

Tim
