Subject: Re: Unsigned Integer Math Problem Posted by Craig Markwardt on Wed, 21 Apr 2010 14:34:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Apr 21, 10:12 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
> Folks,
>
 I've run into a problem with my Histoplot code this morning.
>
> It is extremely important to the Histogram command that the
> data type of the BINSIZE argument be the same as the data
> type of the data for which the histogram is being calculated.
> I don't know why this is the case, but it is.
>
 In any case, I'm extremely careful about this. But this
> is giving me a problem when I try to make a histogram plot
  of an image that is stored as unsigned integers (UINT).
>
> Basically, to make my plot I take the output minimum
> and maximum from the histogram command and subtract (or
> add) a full binsize to those numbers to give the X axis
> range of the plot.
>
> My problem is this. The OMIN of the histogram is 0, the
> binsize is 726.
>
    IDL> help, omin, binsize
>
    OMIN
                 UINT
                                0
>
                  UINT
    BINSIZE
                                726
>
  When I make the calculation for the minimum data range
  of my axis, I do this:
>
>
    IDL> min_xrange = omin - binsize
>
    IDL> Help, min_xrange
>
    MIN XRANGE
                      UINT
                               = 64810
>
  Now, this causes the minimum x range to be larger than the
  maximum x range and results in complete chaos downstream.
>
>
> Clearly, I don't want the minimum x range value to be less
> than zero in this case, but I also don't want to force the
> value to be zero if the minimum I want is somewhat higher
> than this, say 1200. How do I test for this? Clearly, this
 does not work:
>
>
    min_xrange = (omin - binsize) > 0
>
>
```

- > Since this number 64810 *is* larger than zero, and WRONG!
- > I guess my real question is this: How do I do arithmetic
- > operations with unsigned integers in a way that preserves
- > the nature of unsigned integers?

>

>

> Any ideas on this?

Hi David, I think there is no way to do exactly what you want. But this is a "doctor it hurts when I do this" problem. For plotting purposes, there is absolutely no harm in upcasting to a signed integer, and *then* doing your devious arithmetic.

For that matter, why even bother with integers? For plotting purposes, make your calculations in double precision!

Craig