

---

Subject: Re: baffling syntax error

Posted by [Gray](#) on Fri, 23 Apr 2010 18:44:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Apr 23, 1:36 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> R.G. Stockwell writes:

>> agree. i'm just guessing as to the cause, and path problems are  
>> very common. Could either be a newly created function that is not in  
>> the cached path, or perhaps misnamed, or not in the path at all.

>

>> My point being, if it is a problem like that, then it will still be a  
>> problem

>> in the future, and the compile option won't fix it.

>

> I've taken it as the Gospel truth that if files  
> are named correctly this problem NEVER occurs:

>

> <http://www.dfanning.com/tips/namefiles.html>

>

> But I ran into a situation about a month ago, and I  
> don't remember all the details now, but, basically,  
> the ONLY way to solve the problem was to add a  
> compile option. It made me wonder if IDL had changed  
> something about the order in which it sorts things out,  
> because I had never run into this problem before.

>

> I'll have to get a beer or two, probably, before I  
> can remember all the details. :-(

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>

> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Well, here's what happened - the function that was being called was included in a file along with a bunch of other routines. I needed to write another wrapper to use some of those functions to work in a limited capacity only, so I was simply compiling the original wrapper file before I compiled my new wrapper file. BUT, at one point I forgot to do that, so I got the above error. Since I already write code in `strict_arr` anyway (it's much less confusing to read), I should just turn on `idl2` out of habit, so these things don't happen.

---