

---

Subject: Re: Comments on Coding Style

Posted by [Aram Panasenco](#) on Thu, 29 Apr 2010 20:17:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

pp wrote:

- > On Apr 29, 4:26 pm, Aram Panasenco<panasenco...@gmail.com> wrote:
- >> I am going to try and use only one header per class that will describe
- >> the entire class interface. I am using non-standard tags (Name, Design
- >> Details, Abstraction, Syntax, and Public Methods) instead of the more
- >> standard (Name, Purpose, Category, Superclasses, Subclasses, Syntax). Is
- >> that a bad change? What would you like to see different about the header?
- >
- > If you use one of the standard formats (and tags) for the comments,
- > then you can use IDLdoc to generate nice documentation pages (which
- > include very useful features like links and search). If you are not
- > familiar with it, see
- >
- > <http://idldoc.idldev.com/>
- >
- > Also, using one of the standard formats allows the Workbench to show
- > the help for the routine.

Actually, I have just explored more of IDLdoc and I think it has some pretty good standards. Maybe I will switch to documenting each routine by them. I will see. Thanks again for the info!

~Aram Panasenco

---