
Subject: Re: Comments on Coding Style

Posted by [Aram Panasenco](#) on Thu, 29 Apr 2010 20:10:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pp wrote:

> On Apr 29, 4:26 pm, Aram Panasenco<panasenco...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> I am going to try and use only one header per class that will describe
>> the entire class interface. I am using non-standard tags (Name, Design
>> Details, Abstraction, Syntax, and Public Methods) instead of the more
>> standard (Name, Purpose, Category, Superclasses, Subclasses, Syntax). Is
>> that a bad change? What would you like to see different about the header?
>
> If you use one of the standard formats (and tags) for the comments,
> then you can use IDLdoc to generate nice documentation pages (which
> include very useful features like links and search). If you are not
> familiar with it, see
>
> <http://idldoc.idldev.com/>
>
> Also, using one of the standard formats allows the Workbench to show
> the help for the routine.

I have just checked out IDLdoc and... wow! I am downright speechless. It does generate amazing help pages. I am sure there is a way to fine-tune it (or downright modify the source code) to work with nonstandard tags, though. Right now, human readability is a bigger concern for me.

~Aram Panasenco
