On Apr 29, 4:26 pm, Aram Panasenco <panasencoa...@gmail.com> wrote:
> I am going to try and use only one header per class that will describe
> the entire class interface. I am using non-standard tags (Name, Design
> Details, Abstraction, Syntax, and Public Methods) instead of the more
> standard (Name, Purpose, Category, Superclasses, Subclasses, Syntax). Is
> that a bad change? What would you like to see different about the header?

If you use one of the standard formats (and tags) for the comments,
then you can use IDLdoc to generate nice documentation pages (which
include very useful features like links and search). If you are not
familiar with it, see

http://idldoc.idldev.com/

Also, using one of the standard formats allows the Workbench to show
the help for the routine.