

---

Subject: Re: pixelwise regression for time series images  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 13 May 2010 16:14:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Craig Markwardt writes:

> Heh, I still think in round () array indices. To me, the notation  
> thing is a wash. Switching to [] indexing makes less confusion with  
> function calls. On the other hand, use of [] is confused with the  
> array constructor, x = [a,b,c].

I'm willing to make an exception for anyone still using  
IDL 4.0. :-)

By the way, I spent the evening talking to a friend  
about IDL 8. I get more and more excited about this  
daily. I wish I had more time to play with it.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>  
Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thos speakest truth.")

---