
Subject: Re: pixelwise regression for time series images
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 13 May 2010 15:51:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On May 13, 8:28 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
> Bringfried Stecklum writes:
>> One can do that without loops. Just write down the regression equations, keeping
>> in mind that the summation has to be done for the 3rd dimension. Actually this
>> is what I like about IDL, you can think of bigger entities than just a single
>> value. I attached a function which works for me.
>
> Wow. Aside from how elegant this is, it really makes
> you appreciate how hard it was to read IDL code
> before the advent of square brackets, doesn't it? :-)

Heh, I still think in round () array indices. To me, the notation thing is a wash. Switching to [] indexing makes less confusion with function calls. On the other hand, use of [] is confused with the array constructor, x = [a,b,c].

Craig
