

---

Subject: Re: Integrator taking vectors as input?

Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Thu, 27 May 2010 19:47:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On May 27, 2:07 pm, Elias <elias.rous...@gmail.com> wrote:

- > Anyway, I did manage in the end to include a vectorized integration
- > scheme in my code that uses Simpson's rule and gives an almost
- > identical result as QSIMP (less than 1% difference in the worst case).
- > I still havent applied it to the big dataset to see how much time I
- > gain, but I am optimistic.
- >

This might be the time for me to bring up again a complaint I first made in 1997 ( <http://tinyurl.com/3xyp3vp> ) -- why does QSIMP require the user supplied function to return a \*scalar\* result. The QSIMP algorithm typically requires thousands of function evaluations on each iteration, and allowing the function to compute these in a single call can give a tremendous speedup. (My \*procedure\* <http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/qsimp.pro> allows the function to return a vector result.) --Wayne

---