Subject: Re: sharing information across widget hierarchies Posted by penteado on Tue, 10 Aug 2010 01:28:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Aug 6, 11:23 pm, Chris <beaum...@ifa.hawaii.edu> wrote:

- > Ok, I can get around this by attaching the \_same\_ event function to
- > widgets 2 and 3. Now at least a single function gets called whenever
- > an event happens; but there's no single widget in which to store state
- > information. So then I have to store the state information as a
- > pointer in the uvalue to widgets 2 and 3. This means that I'm
- > constantly dereferencing the state information pointer, which is much
- > more awkward (syntactically) than working with a single, non-pointer
- > variable (does anyone else hate how ugly x =
- > (\*(\*state\_ptr).big\_array\_ptr)[first\_col, \*] looks?)

With IDL 8, you can both have simpler code and only pass around a reference, using a hash instead of a structure. This removes two levels of indirection: the one used to pass a pointer instead of copying the structure, and the other to have dynamic fields in it. For instance, the fragment above could be just

(state\_hash['big\_array'])[first\_col,\*]