

---

Subject: Re: Negative indexing and the WHERE function in IDL 8.0

Posted by [svhhaugan](#) on Thu, 19 Aug 2010 12:09:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Aug 18, 6:31 pm, Chris Torrence <gorth...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hi all,

>

> A possible solution is to use the new /NULL keyword to WHERE:

[..]

> Hope this helps.

Not really. First of all, it isn't IDL 7-compatible. Second, that change by itself only prevents against accidental modification of your data immediately after the WHERE. Program logic will continue past that point based on a false assumption.

How about using "compile\_opt strictarrsubs" (which is IDL 7-compatible) to imply what it always implied in IDL 7 and before: all negative array subscripts are illegal, whether scalar or arrays.

If only you'd gone with the option that "new semantics go with a new program suffix" (as I recommended when I was asked). As it is now, your customers may find and use stuff from "out there", and it will not work the way it does on IDL 7 when executed by IDL 8. That's wasting a lot of people's time. Take e.g. SolarSoft, almost 50 000 routines that are potentially broken in IDL 8 but not in IDL 7, due to this new feature. And no automatic way to fix it. Inserting a compile\_opt statement can easily be done automatically. This will certainly break a few of them, I'm sure, but at least it'll cause a \*crash\* when something's wrong. Not squash the error message and let the code go on doing something that was never foreseen.

Stein

---