
Subject: Re: Ellipse fitting

Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Thu, 09 Sep 2010 13:29:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article

<a8e942ee-0554-42d6-9bad-caf77b03935b@a11g2000vbn.googlegroups.com>,

> Hi all,
> I've got a problem when I try to find the orientation of a fitted
> ellipse of a region of interest (http://www.dfanning.com/ip_tips/fit_ellipse.html).
> I've got an stack of images of an ellipse rotating. My problem arises
> when I try to find the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix, the
> signs of the components of them change randomly. In other words, I
> start with my ellipse in the 3rd quadrant. The first image gives the
> correct signs for the components of the principal eigenvector, but the
> second image doesn't give the signs of the components well, so in this
> case the eigenvector is located in the 4th quadrant, but my ellipse
> still stands in the 3rd quadrant!
> I don't know if I have explained my problem correctly. The problem
> comes from the indeterminacy of the signs of the eigenvectors. If they
> had the correct signs, I would use the atan2 function, which will give
> the correct orientation. However, the signs aren't correct, so I can't
> get the orientation of the ellipse after a whole rotation.
> Thank you very much

As you say, the signs of the eigenvectors are indeterminate.

If the rotations between successive instances are small, you should be able to check which option gives you the smaller rotation.

That is, check $\text{ATAN}(y, x)$ and $\text{ATAN}(-y, -x)$ and see which gives the smaller angular difference from the previous orientation.

You have to be careful when cross the origin.

Ken Bowman
