Subject: Re: IDLDoc Bandwagon

Posted by Michael Galloy on Tue, 21 Sep 2010 14:16:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 9/20/10 10:30 pm, Paulo Penteado wrote:

- > On Sep 21, 1:20 am, David Fanning<n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
- >> Yes, I have a question about that. What do you do in the
- >> case of a large file with many modules? The module I want
- >> to document is, of course, at the bottom of the file. And
- >> yet I want the documentation to appear at the top of the
- >> file. How would you handle something like that?

>

> Maybe with hidden or private tags to suppress the other routines?

> >

- >> Also, I want to have the copyright notice (as some significant
- >> amount of text) in the file. What would be the best way to
- >> handle that? There really isn't a category for that, and
- >> it seems a little strange to put it someplace like after
- >> the procedure definition statement (which would follow
- >> immediately after the documentation) and before the first
- >> line of code in the procedure.

>

- > There is a copyright tag, and it could go on the file-level comments.
- > Or in an overview file. Am I missing something?

Yes, you can put file-level comments at the beginning of the file, i.e., comments between ;+ and ;- that are not immediately next to a routine header are "file-level." I generally put documentation about each routine in the header right next to that routine and file-level stuff about the whole file like what the "public" routines in the file are in the file-level comments.

For the copyright notice, I either put it in normal comments (without ;+ and ;-) right at the top of the file or I put it in the :Copright: tag.

Since the :Copyright: tag is not available in the IDL format, I would just put it in normal comments.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com Research Mathematician Tech-X Corporation