
Subject: Re: IDLDoc Bandwagon

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Tue, 21 Sep 2010 14:02:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/20/10 10:23 pm, Paulo Penteado wrote:

> On Sep 21, 1:16 am, Paulo Penteado<pp.pente...@gmail.com> wrote:

>> On Sep 21, 12:54 am, Michael Galloy<mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>> It's painful to convert existing files, so individual files can specify

>>> their own FORMAT_STYLE/MARKUP_STYLE by putting a "docformat" comment on

>>> the *first line* of the file, like:

>>

>>> ; docformat = 'rst rst'

>>

>>> or

>>

>>> ; docformat = 'IDL preformatted'

>>

>> I had not noticed that the markup style could fix the newlines for old

>> comments.

>>

>> What I have been using, to make the old files work unaltered, is to

>> specify format_style='IDL' in the call to IDLDoc, and always put the

>> docformat on the new files, to override the IDL format.

>

> By the way, I noticed that using format_style='IDL' in the IDLDoc

> call seems to suppress the contents of the overview file. Is this

> intended?

Not everything is supported in the "legacy styles." I would like to, but I just haven't finished everything for them. Expect new features in the rst format/markup styles, but not really anything new in the IDL/IDLdoc format styles.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Research Mathematician

Tech-X Corporation
