Subject: Re: Writing in text file

Posted by rogass on Tue, 28 Sep 2010 18:13:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 27 Sep., 15:18, Ben Tupper <ben.bigh...@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 9/27/10 7:48 AM, Dave Poreh wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>> On Sep 27, 4:16 am, Ben Tupper<ben.bigh...@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 9/27/10 5:05 AM, Dave Poreh wrote:
>>>> Folks
>>>> Hi:
>>>> I read some data like this:
>>> -1.8750000000 78.8750000000 1.317
>>> -1.8750000000 78.6250000000 1.284
>>> -1.8750000000 78.3750000000 1.216
>>> -1.8750000000 78.1250000000 1.148
>>> -1.8750000000 77.8750000000 1.080
>>>> .......
>>> And when I want to write it,
>>>> openw,1,'C.dat'
>>> z=transpose(reform([data[0,*],data[1,*],data[2,*]],n_element s(data[2,*]),
>>>> 3))
>>> printf,1,z
>>>> close,1
>>>> it gives me something like this:
         -1.87500
                     0.897000
                                 71.6250
>>>>
          78.8750
                     9.87500
                                0.645000
>>>>
          1.31700
                     70.3750
                                21.8750
>>>>
         -1.87500
                     1.01300
                                71.3750
>>>>
          78.6250
                     9.87500
                                0.538000
>>>>
          1.28400
                     70.1250
                                21.8750
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Will you please help me out whit this?
>>>> Cheers
>>>> Dave
>
>>> Hi Dave,
>>> You haven't said what you expected to see, but clearly the transpose is
>>> making this come out 'funny'. Here's what I see when I simply readf
>>> from a file ("data-int.txt") and then printf the data to a file
>>> ("data-out.txt").
>
```

```
>>> Cheers,
>>> Ben
>>> { x86_64 darwin unix Mac OS X 7.1 Apr 21 2009
                                                      64
                                                            64}
>>> ;data-in.txt looks like the following
>>> :-1.8750000000 78.8750000000 1.317
>>> ;-1.8750000000 78.6250000000 1.284
>>> ;-1.8750000000 78.3750000000 1.216
>>> ;-1.8750000000 78.1250000000 1.148
>>> ;-1.8750000000 77.8750000000 1.080
>>> infile = "data-in.txt"
>>> n = FILE_LINES(infile)
>>> s = FLTARR(3, n)
>>> OPENR, U, infile, /GET_LUN
>>> READF, U, s
>>> FREE LUN, u
>>> outfile = "data-out.txt"
>>> OPENW,U, outfile,/GET_LUN
>>> PRINTF, U, s
>>> FREE_LUN, U
>>> ; data-out.txt looks like the following
>
                    78.8750
        -1.87500
                                1.31700
>>> ;
       -1.87500
                    78.6250
                                1.28400
>>> :
       -1.87500
                    78.3750
                                1.21600
>>> :
       -1.87500
>>> ;
                    78.1250
                                1.14800
       -1.87500
                    77.8750
                                1.08000
>>> :
>
>> Thanks Ben. You r way is perfect. I don't know why my way does not
>> working?
>> Cheers
>> Dave
> Hi again,
>
 I know that when I get bogged down with this kind of stuff (happens
> often*) using an tiny simple example helps - I like to use BINDGEN to
> created an ordered easy-to-read array. Below, you can see that I
> separate the reformed array (rs) from the transposed reformed array
> (trs). See how reform inserts the data into the new array in the
> original order? Note that the top row in rs is ordered 0,1,2,3,4. Does
> the rs value printed look like what you expected? Then also note that
> down the fist column the order is 0, 5, 10. When you transpose it back
> to being a 3x5 array the top row becomes 0,5,10. Thus your woes begin.
```

```
>
> IDL> s = bindgen(3,5)
> IDL > rs = reform([s[0,*],s[1,*],s[2,*]],n_elements(s[2,*]),3)
> IDL> trs = transpose(rs)
> IDL> print, s
    0 1 2
    3 4 5
    6 7 8
>
    9 10 11
    12 13 14
> IDL> print, rs
    0 1 2 3 4
    5 6 7 8 9
>
    10 11 12 13 14
> IDL> print, trs
    0 5 10
>
    1 6 11
    2 7 12
    3 8 13
>
    4 9 14
>
> Cheers,
> Ben
> * Especially now that I use R a lot. It's either row- or column- major.
   I can't remember, but I know it is whatever IDL isn't.
```

Yes, reform exactly does what it shall do.

CR