

---

Subject: Re: alternative to execute available?

Posted by [rogass](#) on Wed, 13 Oct 2010 15:00:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 13 Okt., 16:22, FÖLDY Lajos <fo...@rmki.kfki.hu> wrote:

> On Wed, 13 Oct 2010, chris wrote:

>> Hi there,

>> I'm often using the `_extra` keyword in my routines together with a

>> simple approach to give variables values, like this one

>

>> `pro test_ex, _extra=ex`

>> `for i=0l,n_tags(ex)-1l do _=execute((tag_names(ex))[i]+'=ex.(i)')`

>> `end`

>

>> If I would call this like: `test,/hello,d=dist(10)`

>> it would give me within the `pro`: `d` with the value `dist(10)` and `hello`

>> with the value 1

>

>> It works fine, but has one big limitation. I cannot use this technique

>> if I would like to produce working binaries (sav-files). Is there any

>> way to avoid the `execute` call without having information which

>> keywords were passed to the `test_ex` routine?

>

>> Thanks and regards

>

>> CR

>

> `for i=0l,n_tags(ex)-1l do (scope_varfetch((tag_names(ex))[i], /enter))=ex.(i)`

>

> regards,

> lajos

Very cool! THANK YOU!

Cheers

CR

---