

---

Subject: Re: IDL 8.0 bug -- line number of errors not given

Posted by [penteado](#) on Tue, 12 Oct 2010 22:25:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Oct 12, 7:16 pm, Paul van Delst <paul.vande...@noaa.gov> wrote:

>> Not showing the full trace is consistent with returning to the caller.

>

> <disclaimer>

> I do not use ON\_ERROR for error handling. I always use CATCH.

> </disclaimer>

>

> Not sure if I agree with the consistency you mention. Since the error handler prints out a message it should at least

> provide the name of the procedure/function in which the error occurred. Without it, there is zero context >for the error.

My view is that setting 2 for on\_error is already asking to be thrown out of the context of the error. That is why I see it as consistent.

It is not zero information on where the error happens, because you get the trace up to the point where the routine with 'on\_error,2' is called.

---