
Subject: Re: IDL 8.0 bug -- line number of errors not given
Posted by [Bill Nel](#) on Tue, 12 Oct 2010 21:49:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Oct 12, 3:58 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
> Chris Torrence writes:
>> This is by design.

1) The error messages IDL returns are pretty useless unless one knows which routine generated the error. You might as well have IDL return "Something's wrong."

2) I use On_Error, 2 and Message, ... in "mature" code to report errors from parameter checking -- very handy for interactive use. The new behavior forces me to give this up or to waste time (considerably) tracking down a bug when it does appear.

3) Why don't you just attach the routine name to IDL's error message?

--Wayne
