
Subject: Re: Random Sampling Without Replacement
Posted by [Heinz Stege](#) on Thu, 14 Oct 2010 10:44:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 13 Oct 2010 21:19:16 -0600, David Fanning wrote:

> Heinz Stege writes:
>
>> Of course we should add the seed to the parameter list:
>>
>> function unique_random,n,m,seed
>
> Actually, you should probably put the seed in a common
> block, or an awful lot of your "sampling" sequences
> are going to look a hell of a lot alike. :-)
>
> Cheers,
>
> David

Okay, I see. What I wanted to say is, that one has to take care of the seed. And it is my preference, to put it into the parameter list.

I am afraid, that I would forget about the today's common block, and generate a second one within another routine in half a year. :-)

Greetings, Heinz
