Subject: Re: Error Handling Change in IDL 8 Posted by penteado on Tue, 19 Oct 2010 19:09:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Oct 19, 3:27 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

- > Alright, I'm going to quit whining about it. But
- > I still think changing the way error handling works
- > was a terrible idea and almost guaranteed to
- > antagonize long-time customers. (If I'm the only
- > one antagonized, as it appears, then perhaps
- > Coyote is right that "Nobody uses damn error
- > handling anyway!")

I do not feel strongly about this, but it is looking like it would be better to either revert to the old behavior in the next release, or make it a preference. I find it better to use a preference, that way everybody can have their preferred behavior. The same way that in a static compiled language there is the option to tell the compiler to add traceback information and runtime checks.