
Subject: Re: Error Handling Change in IDL 8
Posted by [penteado](#) on Tue, 19 Oct 2010 19:09:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Oct 19, 3:27 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

>
> Alright, I'm going to quit whining about it. But
> I still think changing the way error handling works
> was a terrible idea and almost guaranteed to
> antagonize long-time customers. (If I'm the only
> one antagonized, as it appears, then perhaps
> Coyote is right that "Nobody uses damn error
> handling anyway!")

I do not feel strongly about this, but it is looking like it would be better to either revert to the old behavior in the next release, or make it a preference. I find it better to use a preference, that way everybody can have their preferred behavior. The same way that in a static compiled language there is the option to tell the compiler to add traceback information and runtime checks.
