

medd writes:

> Do you think it is crazy to go with IDL?

Not entirely, no. :-)

> Do you know of other companies which have done this?

Yes. Some successfully. Some not so much.

If I were going to build a commercial application, especially in medical imaging, I don't think the cost of a run-time license bundled with the software would hold me back. Thrown into the mix with the cost of the imaging machine, it's chump change.

If I were building a commercial application, I would want it to **look** like a commercial application. So, if I were doing this, I'd make sure the damn thing ran on Windows computers. You put a Motif application on a Macintosh and you are asking for ridicule.

There are minor annoyances. For example, if you put a file name into a Dialog_Pickfile, it comes up showing only half the file name. It's all there, just not visible to the user. That's not exactly commercial-software friendly, but it doesn't seem to bother anyone. At least I haven't been able to convince anyone to fix it for the past couple of years.

I think time-to-market with IDL software would be a lot faster than with other software. But sometimes the race doesn't go to the swift.

So, I think it's a mixed bag. I think IDL makes perfect sense when you are building advanced research tools, to be used by researchers who can maybe tolerate more nuance in the way tools work and look. In the hands of a medical technician... Hard to say. It could well depend more on the skill of the carpenter than the shape of the tool.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
