
Subject: Re: an idl_opserver-like option for IDL 8.0?
Posted by [penteado](#) on Thu, 04 Nov 2010 00:31:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Nov 3, 4:17 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
> They are trying to sort out the problem now, but there
> is some thought to going back to a separated workbench/process
> arrangement for Windows computers. All of this is still
> to be determined, but the folks at ITTVIS understand that
> the current arrangement is not ideal.

Is this not the bug that was fixed in 8.0.1:

"58077 59612 IDL cannot allocate sufficient memory for large arrays."

?
