
Subject: Re: an idl_opserver-like option for IDL 8.0?
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 03 Nov 2010 18:17:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Doug Martin writes:

> Thanks for the suggestion! It turns out that your workaround is the
> same as the Tech Tip 4603
>
> <http://www.ittvis.com/services/techtip.asp?ttid=4603>
>
> I still end up being hit with the memory cap with arrays in 8.0 about
> half the size of 7.0. Shoot.

I was just on the phone with ITTVIS about another, related problem. In IDL 7 the workbench was separated from the IDL process. They decided to combine the workbench and the IDL process in version 8, primarily because they had to do this to get the Mac version running properly. But, unfortunately, this has caused additional problems for Windows computers, and, in particular, for 64-bit Windows computers, which is what I am trying to run IDL 8 on. One of the problems is extreme fragility, another is this memory issue.

They are trying to sort out the problem now, but there is some thought to going back to a separated workbench/process arrangement for Windows computers. All of this is still to be determined, but the folks at ITTVIS understand that the current arrangement is not ideal.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
