

---

Subject: Re: IDL\_IDLBridge limited to 4?

Posted by [d.rowenhorst@gmail.co](mailto:d.rowenhorst@gmail.co) on Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:13:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Nov 9, 1:26 pm, Donald Woodraska <don.woodra...@gmail.com> wrote:

>> Are you using the NOWAIT keyword for asynchronous operation?  
>  
> Yes. I first create an array of objects using a call to  
> OBJ\_NEW('IDL\_IDLBridge') for each index in the array.  
>  
> I create an integer variable called threadcnt (unimaginative) to cycle  
> through all of the bridge objects to check if their status() is not  
> busy  
> if oBridgeArr[threadcnt]->Status() eq 0 then begin  
> ...  
> oBridgeArr[threadcnt]->execute, cmdlist[i], /NOWAIT  
>  
> If the /NOWAIT keyword was not set then I couldn't run more than 1 at  
> a time. By limiting the number of objects in the array I can see that  
> for one object I get 100% CPU usage, for 2 I get 200%, for 3 I get  
> 300%, and for 4 I get 400%. For anything more than 4 I always get  
> 400%. There is no difference from 4 all the way up to 14.  
>  
> However, by running 4 separate IDL command-line sessions, I can use  
> all 1600% available (nearly).  
>  
> I saw another post where someone tried using one IDL\_IDLBridge object  
> to create a bunch of other IDL\_IDLBridge objects. I haven't tried  
> that, but even if that works, it's just a workaround.  
>  
> Has anyone else tried to use more than 4 IDL\_IDLBridges simultaneously?

When using more than 4 bridges, I have the problem where I can not destroy/cleanup the bridges, and the program gets stuck waiting for the bridge to die.

---